

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/661,694	Applicant(s) HEIBURG, KLAUS	
	Examiner Ngoclan T. Mai	Art Unit 1742	

All Participants:

(1) Ngoclan T. Mai.

(2) Harold Fullmer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 March 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

29-40

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The attorney agreed to cancel claims 29-40, drawn to non-elected invention in order to put claims in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ngoclan Mai
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)